Claims 1-12 are pending in the application. By this Amendment, claims 1, 5 and 9 have

been amended. It is submitted that this Amendment is fully responsive to the Office Action

REMARKS

dated October 17, 2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §102

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Takenaka

et al. (USP 5,357,433).

This rejection is respectfully traversed. Independent claim 1, as amended, now recites

"the foot portion includes an upper sole and a lower sole, and the force sensor is provided

between the upper sole and the lower sole" and "the lower sole is provided with a side wall

rising upward at a part next to the outer edge of the foot portion." Also, independent claims 5

and 9 have been amended to include similar features.

(A) As to the present claimed invention

The present claimed invention relates to a walking mobile system, and more specifically

to its walk control which can detect contact of foot sides. Specifically, it is characterized in that

foot portions are constituted so as to detect easily contact of foot sides.

-7-

Attorney Docket No.: 043082

That is, as described in the specification, for example, page 9, line 33 to page 10, line 14

and Fig. 4, the force sensor 36a is provided between the upper sole 37 and the lower sole 38

under the sole plate 35 which is the bottom face of a foot portion 14L, and further the lower sole

38 is provided with a side wall 38a rising upward at a part next to the outer edge of a foot portion

14L.

Therefore, when a foot portion 14L hits a side of a matter on the floor surface, the side

wall 38a of the lower sole 38 collides on said matter, transmits its impact strength to the force

sensor 36a, which can hence detect said contact (for example, page 10, lines 5-8 of the

specification).

(B) As to Takenaka et al. (USP 5,357,433)

On page 3, lines 4-5 of the Action, it was pointed out that "the walk controller comprises

force sensors for detecting forces applied to sole of respective foot portions (col. 3, lines 35-58)"

in Takenaka et al.

In Takenaka et al., col. 3, lines 35-44, it is surely disclosed that the ankles of the robot are

provided with "six dimensional force and torque sensor 36," and said sensor 36 detects "whether

or not the associated foot has landed and the magnitude and direction of the force acting on the

supporting leg."

-8-

Application No. 10/517,377

Attorney Docket No.: 043082

(C) Comparison of the present claimed invention and Takenaka et al.

The present claimed invention and Takenaka et al. are similar in that both have a sensor

in the foot portion, but the present claimed invention differs from Takenaka et al. in that the

present claimed invention can easily detect contact of foot sides. That is, as mentioned above,

the present claimed invention is characterized in that:

(1) the force sensor 36a is provided between the upper sole 37 and the lower sole

38;

(2) the lower sole 38 is provided with a side wall 38a rising upward at a part next

to the outer edge of a foot portion.

Nothing is explicitly disclosed in Takenaka et al. about the constitution to easily detect

contact of foot sides, and more specifically about the constitutions of the above-mentioned

features (1) and (2).

In view of the above, it is submitted that Takenaka et al. fails to disclose or fairly suggest

the claimed feature "the foot portion includes an upper sole and a lower sole, and the force

sensor is provided between the upper sole and the lower sole" and "the lower sole is provided

with a side wall rising upward at a part next to the outer edge of the foot portion," as called for

in amended claim 1 and similarly in amended claims 5 and 9.

Accordingly claims 1, 5 and 9 distinguish over Takenaka et al.

-9-

Application No. 10/517,377

Attorney Docket No.: 043082

Claims 2-4, 6-8 and 10-12 are dependent from claim 1, 5 or 9 and recite the additional

features set forth therein. Accordingly claims 2-4, 6-8 and 10-12 also distinguish over Takenaka

et al. for at least the reasons set forth above.

In view of the aforementioned amendments and accompanying remarks, Applicants

submit that that the claims, as herein amended, are in condition for allowance. Applicants

request such action at an early date.

If the Examiner believes that this application is not now in condition for allowance, the

Examiner is requested to contact Applicants' undersigned attorney to arrange for an interview to

expedite the disposition of this case.

If this paper is not timely filed, Applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate

extension of time. The fees for such an extension or any other fees that may be due with respect

to this paper may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-2866.

Respectfully submitted,

WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP

Thomas E. Brown

Attorney for Applicants

Registration No. 44,450

Telephone: (202) 822-1100

Facsimile: (202) 822-1111

TEB/TN/ya

- 10 -